

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ucpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,090	09/09/2003	Jiping Wang	9024M2	1433
27752	7590 03/08/2005		EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			BOYER, CHARLES I	
	TUAL PROPERTY DIV IILL TECHNICAL CEN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENT	ER HILL AVENUE		1751	-
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astion Cummons	10/658,090	WANG ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles I. Boyer	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a epply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	September 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	i. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	1 Office Action of form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-940)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/1/04.</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

This application claims priority to US Application 10/375,792 on page 1 of the specification, however the disclosure of this application and the priority application are dissimilar. This priority claim with respect to the application cited above is believed to be an error.

## **Double Patenting**

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 13, 15, 16, 19, and 20 of this application conflict with claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13, 14, 17, and 18 of Application No. 10/658,146. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Art Unit: 1751

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Before citing the references against the present claims, the examiner would like to state for the record that due to the inordinate breadth of the present claims, requiring only a composition containing a "benefit agent" and a "delivery enhancing agent", the examiner maintains that a thorough search is impossible. These terms, without further definition or explanation in the claims, could be just about anything. For example, a fabric softener with a solvent, hydrotrope or surfactant, would satisfy at least the limitations set forth in claim 1. There are potentially an infinite number of combinations of components that would satisfy claim 1. Accordingly, the examiner has taken into consideration the preferred specific components of the present invention, in order to identify the closest prior art, which art is cited below. Applicants should be aware however, that there are many other references that could have been cited against the present invention. Any response from applicants to the references cited below that does not also address the fact that their claims are extremely broadly written, together with a clear statement of what applicants consider to be the novelty of their invention, would likely not be successful in rendering those claims allowable.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fowler et al, US 5,534,265.

Fowler et al teach thickened, personal cleansing compositions comprising 4% polyethylene particles having a particle size of 25 microns, 0.2% polyquaternium-10, and 4.56% surfactant (col. 22, example 5). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory. With respect to the present claims being drawn to laundry

Art Unit: 1751

products, the examiner maintains this is merely the ultimate intended use of the composition. If the present claims are deemed patentable, applicants could use the present composition for any purpose, not just as a laundry product. It is well established that the mere recital of a different intended use in a claim does not distinguish the claim over a composition containing the same ingredients in the same proportions for a different purpose in the prior art. See *In re Zierden*, 162 USPQ 102 (CCPA 1969).

4. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobs et al, US 5,939,085.

Jacobs et al teach skin smoothing compositions comprising 11% polyethylene particles, 0.3% polyquaternium-10, and 32% surfactant (col. 22, example 5). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

5. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaiser et al, US 5,919,440.

Kaiser et al teach personal cleansing compositions comprising 4% butylacrylate/ethylhexyl methacrylate, 0.3% polyquaternium-10, 17.6% surfactant, and 0.3% phosphate builders (col. 14, example IX). Another example comprises 0.3% dimethicone, 0.3% polyquaternium-10, 14.4% surfactant, and 0.3% phosphate builders (col. 14, example X). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Art Unit: 1751

6. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Snyder et al, US 6,268,431.

Snyder et al teach hair styling shampoos comprising 3% latex polymer, 0.4% polyquaternium-10, 14.7% surfactants, and 0.25% polybutene (col. 13, example VII). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

7. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sisco et al, US 4,969,925.

Sisco et al teach soap bars comprising 0.4% butylacrylate/methacrylic acid, 1% LM-200, and soap (col. 8, test 4). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

8. Claims 1-7, and 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yeoh et al, US 6,200,554.

Yeoh et al teach conditioning shampoo compositions comprising 0.5% polyquaternium-10, 1.5% dimethicone having a particle size from 30 to 40 microns, and 16% surfactants (col. 20, example XVI). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

9. Claims 1-7, and 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coffindaffer et al, US 5,932,203.

Art Unit: 1751

Coffindaffer et al teach conditioning shampoo compositions comprising 0.2% polyquaternium-10, 2% dimethicone having a particle size from 5 to 25 microns, and 21.45% surfactants (col. 20, example I). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

10. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guskey et al, US 6,040,282.

Guskey et al teach styling shampoo compositions comprising 4% butylacrylate/ethylhexyl methacrylate, 0.15% polyquaternium-10, 0.25% dimethicone, 19% surfactants, and 1% citric acid builder (col. 32, example V). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

11. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bettiol et al, US 6,440,911.

Bettiol et al teach enzymatic shampoo compositions comprising 0.2% polyquaternium-10, 2% dimethicone, 18.6% surfactants, 1% citrate builder, amylase and mannanase (col. 68, example 31V). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles I. Boyer whose telephone number is 571 272 1311. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 to 6:00.

Art Unit: 1751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571 272 1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles I Boyer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751